

December 7-8, 2019 || New Haven, Connecticut

Hikes and Trails Division

		Rou	nd 1		nd 2	Rou	ind 3	Rou	nd 4	Summary
		Δ v. UMass	Amherst B	π v. U	IUC B	Δ v. Geo	rgetown B	π v.]	EMU	5-3-0
Bowdoin	WLT	W	W	L	L	W	W	L	W	CS: 12.5 OCS: 74.5
John Muir	PD	+12	+5	-7	-6	+2	+2	-4	+3	PD: 7
		Δ v. Nort	h Central	π v. Geor	getown B	π v. Prin	nceton B	Δ v.	MIT	4-4-0
BU B	WLT	W	L	W	L	L	L	W	W	CS: 13 OCS: 67.5
Oregon Trail	PD	+4	-1	+17	-4	-4	-9	+10	+11	PD: 24
		Δ v. Hav	erford A	π v. Min	nesota B	Δ v. U	JIUC B	π v. Ste	evenson	7-1-0
Columbia B	WLT	W	W	L	W	W	W	W	W	CS: 18 OCS: 79
Exit Glacier	PD	+18	+14	-3	+5	+8	+11	+6	+4	PD: 63
		π v. Force	lham RH	Δ v. Ste	evenson	π v. Nort	th Central	Δ v. Min	nesota B	4-4-0
Cornell College B	WLT	W	W	L	L	L	W	L	W	CS: 15 OCS: 73.5
Yosemite Falls	PD	+10	+3	-7	-14	-10	+7	-13	+3	PD: -21
		Δ v. Ford			GW	1	Penn A		owdoin	3-4-1
EMU	WLT	Т	W	L	L	L	W	W	L	CS: 18.5 OCS: 62.5
Otter Trail	PD	0	+1	-4	-14	-2	+2	+4	-3	PD: -16
		π v.]		1	Penn A	1	s Amherst A	π ν.		4-3-1
Fordham LC B	WLT	Т	L	W	W	W	W	L	L	CS: 17 OCS: 65.5
Upper Geyser Basin	PD	0	-1	+5	+1	+4	+8	-6	-1	PD: 10
EIL DII		Δ v. Cornel	-	1	rerford A	1	nomas (TX)		Bye	1-6-1
Fordham RH	WLT	L	L		L	L	L	W	Т	CS: 14.5 OCS: 49.5
Natchez Trace	PD	-10 π v. UMass	-3	-20	-8 BU B	-5	-3 owdoin	+10	0 Penn A	PD: -39
Georgetown B	WLT	W	L	L L	W	L	L	Δ V. U. W	L	3-5-0 CS: 16.5 OCS: 56.5
Garfield Peak	PD	•• +2	L -5	-17	•• +4	-2	L -2	+10	L -5	PD: -15
Ομητεία Γεάκ	TD	π v. UI		$\Delta v.$			nnesota B		ham LC B	6-2-0
GW	WLT	W	L	W W	W	W	L	W	W	CS: 16 OCS: 73
Pacific Crest	PD	+4	-1	+4	+14	+19	-8	+6	+1	PD: 39
		π v. Col	umbia B	Δ v. For	iham RH	Δ v. UMas	s Amherst B	π v. UMass	Amherst A	4-4-0
Haverford A	WLT	L	L	W	W	W	W	L	L	CS: 15 OCS: 64
Easy Pass	PD	-18	-14	+20	+8	+13	+7	-17	-1	PD: -2
·		Δ ν. Ν	IYU B	π v. Prin	nceton B	Δ v.	Bye	π v. St. Th	omas (TX)	1-7-0
Haverford B	WLT	L	L	L	L	L	L	W	L	CS: 15 OCS: 53.5
Ramsey Cascades	PD	-6	-16	-9	-21	-3	-15	+1	-7	PD: -76
		π v. U]	Penn B	Δ v. Col	umbia B	Δ v.	GW	π v. Cornel	l College B	5-3-0
Minnesota B	WLT	W	W	W	L	L	W	W	L	CS: 20.5 OCS: 63.5
Edelweissweg	PD	+13	+18	+3	-5	-19	+8	+13	-3	PD: 28
		π ν. Υ	ale C	Δ v. UMass	s Amherst A	Δ v. U	Penn B	π ν. Ι	BU B	2-5-1
MIT	WLT	W	L	L	Т	L	W	L	L	CS: 17.5 OCS: 54
Zion Narrows	PD	+3	-2	-14	0	-8	+3	-10	-11	PD: -39



December 7-8, 2019 || New Haven, Connecticut

Hikes and Trails Division

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Summary 3-4-1 S: 17 OCS: 55 PD: 1
IditarodPD-4+1-6+10-70-3 π v. Haverford B Δ v. Tufts B π v. Yale C Δ v. Princeton BNYUBWLTWWLLTLWWCS:	S: 17 OCS: 55
π v. Haverford B Δ v. Tufts B π v. Yale C Δ v. Princeton BNYUBWLTWLLTLWWCS:	PD: 1
NYUB WLT W W L L T L W W CS:	
	4-3-1
Summarland PD ± 6 ± 16 25 15 0 1 ± 17 ± 10	17.5 OCS: 61.5
Summer unu rb rb rb rb rb rb rb rb	PD: 8
π v. Tufts B Δ v. Haverford B Δ v. BU B π v. NYU B	4-4-0
Princeton B WLT L L W W W U L L CS:	: 16.5 OCS: 63
Navajo Loop PD -17 -12 +9 +21 +4 +9 -17 -10	PD: -13
Δ v. UIUC B π v. UMass Amherst B π v. Fordham RH Δ v. Haverford B	3-5-0
St. Thomas (TX) WLT L L L L W W L W CS	S: 8.5 OCS: 69
Osprey Falls PD -9 -2 -2 -12 +5 +3 -1 +7	PD: -11
Δ v. Bye π v. Cornell College B π v. Tufts B Δ v. Columbia B	5-3-0
Stevenson WLT W W W W W L L L CS: 2	21.5 OCS: 61.5
Teton Crest PD +5 +15 +7 +14 +6 -7 -6 -4	PD: 30
Δ v. Princeton B π v. NYU B Δ v. Stevenson π v. UIUC B	7-1-0
Tufts BWLTWWWWUWWCS:	17.5 OCS: 77.5
Appalachian Trail PD +17 +12 +25 +15 -6 +7 +12 +13	PD: 95
π v. St. Thomas (TX) Δ v. Bowdoin π v. Columbia B Δ v. Tufts B	4-4-0
UIUC B WLT W W W W U L L L L CS:	: 22 OCS: 56.5
Chilkoot Trail PD +9 +2 +7 +6 -8 -11 -12 -13	PD: -20
UMass Amherst Δ v. Georgetown B π v. MIT π v. Fordham LC B Δ v. Haverford A	4-3-1
A WLT L W W T L L W W CS	S: 14 OCS: 66
Highline Trail PD -2 +5 +14 0 -4 -8 +17 +1	PD: 23
UMass Amherst π v. Bowdoin Δ v. St. Thomas (TX) π v. Haverford A Δ v. Yale C	2-6-0
B WLT L L W W L L L L CS:	17.5 OCS: 48.5
Emerald Pools PD -12 -5 +2 +12 -13 -7 -3 -2	PD: -28
Δ v. GW π v. Fordham LC B Δ v. EMU π v. Georgetown B	3-5-0
UPenn A WLT L W L L W L L W CS	S: 17 OCS: 68
Angels Landing PD -4 +1 -5 -1 +2 -2 -10 +5	PD: -14
Δ v. Minnesota B π v. Bye π v. MIT Δ v. North Central	3-4-1
UPenn B WLT L L L W W L T W CS:	: 14.5 OCS: 66
Tower Arch PD -13 -18 -6 +16 +8 -3 0 +3	PD: -13
Δ v. MIT π v. North Central Δ v. NYU B π v. UMass Amherst B	5-2-1
Yale CWLTLWWLTWWCS:	12.5 OCS: 69.5
<i>Endless Wall</i> PD -3 +2 +6 -10 0 +1 +3 +2	PD: 1
π v. Stevenson Δ v. UPenn B π v. Haverford B Δ v. Fordham RH	3-4-1
Bye WLT L L W L W W L T CS:	: 11 OCS: 65.5
Root Glacier PD -5 -15 +6 -16 +3 +15 -10 0	PD: -22

R1 Coin Flip: Heads. Team code closer to 'A' gets better rank.

R3 Coin Flip: Tails. Cards switch sides.



December 7-8, 2019 || New Haven, Connecticut

Hikes and Trails Division

Rou	nd 1 Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Summary	
OUTSTANDING TRIAL TEAMS					
1	Columbia B	7 Wins;	CS 18; OCS 79		
2	Tufts B	7 Wins;	CS 17.5; OCS 77.5		
3	GW	6 Wins;	CS 16; OCS 73		
4	Yale C	5.5 Wins	; CS 12.5; OCS 69.5		
5	Stevenson	5 Wins;	CS 21.5; OCS 61.5		
6	Minnesota B	5 Wins;	CS 20.5; OCS 63.5		
7	Bowdoin	5 Wins;	CS 12.5; OCS 74.5		
8	NYU B	4.5 Wins	s; CS 17.5; OCS 61.5		
9	Fordham LC B	4.5 Wins	s; CS 17; OCS 65.5		
10	UMass Amherst A	4.5 Wins	; CS 14; OCS 66		

OUTSTANDING ATTORNEYS

20	D	Megan Rodriguez	Columbia B
18	D	Jenna Koury	Fordham RH
18	Р	Ryan Kosches	GW
18	D	Ann Basu	Bowdoin
18	D	Bennett Demsky	Tufts B
18	D	Charles Graham III	EMU
18	Р	Julia Hammond	Fordham RH
17	Р	Julia Hartnett	Georgetown B
17	Р	Nick Hamilton	UPenn B
17	D	Marnie Fitzgerald	UMass Amherst B

OUTSTANDING WITNESSES

19	D	Johanne Karizamimba	Columbia B
19	D	Jaaee Nadkarni	Fordham LC B
19	D	Sophia Poteet	BU B
17	D	Isabella Miller	Bowdoin
17	D	Alexander Thompson	Tufts B
17	D	Ashley Morales	Princeton B
17	D	Alec Evans	UPenn A
17	Р	Lizzie Eckert	BU B
17	D	Ilana Goldberg	Tufts B
17	D	Tiffany Jing	Columbia B
17	D	Apreah Smith	Stevenson
17	Р	Brett Sachs	Tufts B
17	Р	Ken Han	Minnesota B

Tabulation Director: Jeffrey Guo (Yale)

Assistant Tabulation Director: Nat Warner (Northwestern, Wesleyan)

Tabulation Room Volunteers: Ed Stern (BU), Paul Bateman (Penn State), Rebecca Koper (North Central), Allen Barr (Minnesota), Paul Hubbell (St. Thomas), Clio Sophia Koller (Chicago), Drew Evans (Haverford), Kate Hayner-Slattery (Northwestern), Erica Arensman (Wesleyan), Mariana Suárez-Rebling (Yale), Allison Du (Yale)

Judges' Choice - Summary Statistics

	Count of Judges' Choice, by	Side
Side	Chosen by Judge	Percentage
Р	92	47%
D	104	53%
Total	196	

Judges' Choice Agreement with PD, by Side				
Ballots Won on Chosen by Judge on				
Side	Points	Ballots Won	Percentage	
Р	91	71	78%	
D	106	85	80%	
Total	197	156	79%	

	Judges' Choice on Tied Bal	llots
Side	Chosen in Tie	Percentage
Р	4	44%
D	5	56%
Total	9	

	Agreement by Point D	ifferential - All Wins	5
		Chosen by Judge o	n
PD	Won on Points	Ballots Won	Percentage
1-5	82	54	66%
6-10	68	59	87%
11+	47	43	91%
Total	197	156	79%

	Agreement by Point Differential - Prosecution Wins only				
	Ballots Won on Chosen by Judge on				
PD	Points	Ballots Won	Percentage		
1-5	41	26	63%		
6-10	23	22	96%		
11+	27	23	85%		
Total	91	71	78%		

Agreement by Point Differential - Defense Wins only				
Ballots Won on Chosen by Judge on				
PD	Points	Ballots Won	Percentage	
1-5	41	28	68%	
6-10	45	37	82%	
11+	20	20	100%	
Total	106	85	80%	

Notes:

[1] Each scoring judge was asked to answer, at the bottom of their blue ballot, this question: *Which team put on the better performance?* Their answer to this question constitutes their "judge's choice."

[2] Twelve ballots (four won by the Defense, six by the Prosecution, and two Ties) did not contain a judge's choice.

[3] The figures above are calculated using ballot data from both divisions.

[4] Raw data can be downloaded at

https://app.box.com/s/j51rlm4lfz11kuw7mmbj4sa38zlzb737.